

Version 4 January 2005

**All enquiries to Jenny Andrews at: +44 (0)121 507 5693
(email: jenny.andrews@swbh.nhs.uk)**

Contents	Page
Standardized method for disc susceptibility testing:	3
1. Preparation of plates	3
2. Selection of control organisms	4
3. Preparation of inoculum	4
4. Inoculation of agar plates	7
5. Antimicrobial discs	7
6. Incubation	8
7. Measuring zones	8
8. Methicillin/oxacillin/cefoxitin testing of staphylococci	9
9. MIC and zone diameter breakpoints	11
10. Acknowledgment	11
11. References	11
 MIC and zone breakpoints Tables for:	
Table V. Enterobacteriaceae and Acinetobacter	12
Table VI. Pseudomonas	14
Table VII. Staphylococci	15
Table VIII. <i>S. pneumoniae</i>	17
Table IX. Enterococci	18
Table X. α -Haemolytic Streptococci	19
Table XI. β -Haemolytic Streptococci	20
Table XII. <i>M. catarrhalis</i>	21
Table XIII. <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	22
Table XIV. <i>N. meningitidis</i>	23
Table XV. <i>H. influenzae</i>	24
Table XVI. <i>P. multocida</i>	25
Table XVII. Urinary Tract Infections (Gram-negative rods)	26
Table XVIII. Urinary Tract Infections (Gram-positive cocci)	27
Appendices	28
 Susceptibility testing of anaerobic and fastidious bacteria	 32
 Susceptibility testing of topical antibiotics	 36
 Control of susceptibility testing	 37
1. Maintenance of control strains.	37
2. Calculation of control ranges for disc diffusion.	37
3. Frequency of testing.	37
4. Methods of using control data to monitor the performance of susceptibility testing.	37
5. Investigation of possible sources of error.	38
6. Reporting.	39
7. Acceptable zone diameter ranges for control strains.	40
8. Control of MIC determinations.	43
 Useful web site addresses	 48

Working Party Members:**Dr. Derek Brown
(Chairman)**

Consultant Clinical Scientist
Clinical Microbiology HPA
Level 6
Addenbrooke's Hospital
Hills Road
Cambridge CB2 2QW

**Mrs Jenny Andrews
(Secretary)**

Consultant Clinical Scientist
Antimicrobial Chemotherapy
BSAC Standardized Method
Development Centre
City Hospital NHS Trust
Dudley Road
Birmingham B18 7QH

**Professor Alasdair
MacGowan**

Consultant Medical
Microbiologist
Southmead Hospital
Westbury-on-Trym
Bristol BS10 5NB

Dr. David Livermore

Head Clinical Scientist
Antibiotic Resistance Monitoring
and Reference Laboratory HPA
61 Colindale Avenue
London NW9 5HT

Dr. Nicholas Brown

Consultant Microbiologist
Clinical Microbiology HPA,
Level 6
Addenbrooke's Hospital
Hills Road
Cambridge CB2 2QW

Dr. Trevor Winstanley

Clinical Scientist
Department of Microbiology
Royal Hallamshire Hospital
Glossop Road
Sheffield S10 2JF

Dr. Nizam Damani

Consultant Microbiologist
Department of Microbiology
Craigavon Area Hospital
Lurgan Road
Portadown
Craigavon
N. Ireland BT63 5QQ

Professor Curtis Gemmell

Department of Microbiology
Glasgow Royal Infirmary
Castle Street
Glasgow G4 0SF

Dr. John Perry

Clinical Scientist
Freeman Hospital
Freeman Road
High Heaton
Newcastle NE7 7DN

Mr. C. Booth

Vice President Science &
Technology
Oxoid Limited
Wade Road
Basingstoke
Hants RG24 8PW

Dr. Gunnar Kahlmeter

Department of Clinical
Microbiology
Central Hospital
351 85 Vaexjoe
Sweden

Mr. Christopher Teale

Veterinary Laboratory Agency
Kendal Road
Harlescote
Shrewsbury
Shropshire SY1 4HD

Dr. Robin Howe

Consultant Microbiologist
NPHS Microbiology Cardiff
University Hospital of Wales
Heath Park
Cardiff CF14 4XW

Dr. Ian Morrissey

Business Development Manager
GR Micro Ltd
7-9 William Road
London NW1 3ER

Mr. Jon Hobson

Laboratory Manager
Mast Laboratories Ltd
Mast House
Derby Road
Bootle
Merseyside L20 1EA

**All enquiries to Jenny Andrews at: +44 (0) 121 507 5693
(email: jenny.andrews@swbh.nhs.uk)**

1. Preparation of plates

- 1.1 Prepare Iso-Sensitest agar (ISA, CM471, Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK), or media shown to have the same performance as ISA, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Media for fastidious organisms are supplemented with 5% defibrinated horse blood or 5% defibrinated horse blood and 20 mg/L β -nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide [NAD] (e.g. from Mast Group, Merseyside, UK) as follows:

Organisms	Medium to be used
Enterobacteriaceae	ISA
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	ISA
<i>S. maltophilia</i>	ISA
Staphylococci other than for the detection of <i>methicillin/oxacillin</i> resistance	ISA
<i>S. aureus</i> using cefoxitin to detect methicillin/oxacillin/cefoxitin resistance	ISA
Staphylococci using methicillin or oxacillin for the detection of methicillin/oxacillin/cefoxitin resistance	Mueller-Hinton or Columbia agar (Oxoid CM331 or equivalent) with 2% NaCl ¹
Enterococci	ISA
<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	ISA + 5 % defibrinated horse blood ²
α -Haemolytic streptococci	ISA + 5 % defibrinated horse blood + 20 mg/L NAD
β -Haemolytic streptococci	ISA + 5 % defibrinated horse blood ²
<i>M. catarrhalis</i>	ISA + 5 % defibrinated horse blood ²
<i>N. meningitidis</i>	ISA + 5 % defibrinated horse blood ²
<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	ISA + 5 % defibrinated horse blood ²
<i>Haemophilus</i> spp.	ISA + 5 % defibrinated horse blood + 20 mg/L NAD
<i>P. multocida</i>	ISA + 5 % defibrinated horse blood + 20 mg/L NAD

NB ¹see Section 8.

²ISA supplemented with 5 % defibrinated horse blood + 20 mg/L NAD may be used for testing.

- 1.2 Pour sufficient molten agar into 90 mm sterile petri dishes to give a mean depth of 4.0 mm \pm 0.5 mm (25 ml).
- 1.3 Dry the surface of the agar to remove excess moisture before use. The length of time needed to dry the surface of the agar depends on whether a fan-assisted drying cabinet or 'still air' incubator is used and whether (and under what conditions) plates are dried before storage.

IMPORTANT: DO NOT OVER-DRY PLATES

- 1.4 Ideally, plates should be stored in vented plastic boxes at 8-10°C prior to use. Alternatively, plates may be stored at 4-8°C in sealed plastic bags. Plate drying, method of storage and storage time should be determined by individual laboratories as part of their Quality Assurance programme. In particular, tests should confirm that excess moisture is not produced in a sealed environment or that plates are not over-dried in an unsealed environment.

2. Selection of control organisms

- 2.1 The following control strains should be included, as appropriate, with every batch of sensitivity tests.

Organism	Either	Or
<i>E. coli</i>	NCTC 12241 (ATCC 25922)	NCTC 10418
<i>E. coli</i>	NCTC 11560 ¹	
<i>S. aureus</i>	NCTC 12981 (ATCC 25923)	NCTC 6571
<i>S. aureus</i>	NCTC 12493 ²	
<i>Ps. aeruginosa</i>	NCTC 12934 (ATCC 27853)	NCTC 10662
<i>Ent. faecalis</i>	NCTC 12697 (ATCC 29212)	
<i>H. influenzae</i>	NCTC 12699 (ATCC 49247)	NCTC 11931
<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	NCTC 12977 (ATCC 49619)	
<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	NCTC 12700 (ATCC 49226)	
<i>P. multocida</i>	NCTC 8489	

¹ β -lactamase producing strain

² Methicillin/oxacillin/cefoxitin resistant strain

NB

- The control strains listed include sensitive strains that have been chosen to monitor test performance, and resistant strains that can also be used to confirm that the method will detect a mechanism of resistance, for example, *H. influenzae* ATCC 49247 is resistant to β -lactam antibiotics.
- To minimise the risk of mutations store control strains at -20°C (not adequate for fastidious organisms) or preferably at -70°C, in glycerol broth, on beads. Ideally, two vials of each control should be stored, one as an 'in-use' supply the other for archiving. Every week a bead from the 'in-use' vial should be subcultured on to appropriate non-selective media and checked for purity. From this pure culture, prepare one subculture for each of the following 7 days. Alternatively, for fastidious organisms that will not survive on plates for 7 days subculture the strain daily for no more than 6 days.

3. Preparation of inoculum

This standardized method of testing has been developed with an inoculum giving semi-confluent growth of colonies after overnight incubation. Use of an inoculum that yields semi-confluent growth has the advantage that an incorrect inoculum can easily be observed. A denser inoculum will result in reduced zones of inhibition and a decreased inoculum will have the opposite effect. The following methods reliably give semi-confluent growth.

NB. Other methods of obtaining semi-confluent growth may be used if they are shown to be equivalent to the following.

3.1 Comparison with 0.5 McFarland Standard

3.1.1 Preparation of 0.5 McFarland Standard

Add 0.5 ml of 0.048M BaCl₂ (1.17% w/v BaCl₂.2H₂O) to 99.5 ml of 0.18M H₂SO₄ (1% w/v) with constant stirring. Thoroughly mix the McFarland standard to ensure that it is evenly suspended. Using matched cuvettes with a 1 cm light path and water as a blank standard, measure the absorbance in a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 625 nm.

The acceptable range for the standard is 0.08 - 0.13. Distribute the standard into screw cap tubes of the same size and with the same volume as those used in growing the broth cultures. Seal the tubes tightly to prevent loss by evaporation. Store protected from light at room temperature. Vigorously agitate the turbidity standard on a vortex mixer before use. Standards may be stored for up to six months after which time they should be discarded. Prepared standards can be purchased (e.g. from bioMérieux, Basingstoke, UK) but commercial standards should be checked to ensure that absorbance is within the acceptable range - see above.

3.1.2 Inoculum preparation by the growth method (for non-fastidious organisms, e.g. Enterobacteriaceae, *Pseudomonas* spp. & staphylococci)

Touch at least four morphologically similar colonies with a sterile loop. Transfer growth into Iso-Sensitest broth or equivalent that has been shown not to interfere with the test. Incubate broth with shaking at 35-37°C until the visible turbidity is equal to or greater than the 0.5 McFarland standard.

3.1.3 Inoculum preparation by the direct colony suspension method (the method of choice for fastidious organisms, e.g. *Haemophilus* spp., *N. gonorrhoeae* and *S. pneumoniae*)

Colonies are taken directly from the plate into Iso-Sensitest broth (or equivalent) or sterile distilled water. The suspension should match or exceed the 0.5 McFarland standard.

NB. With some organisms production of an even suspension of the required turbidity is difficult and growth in broth is a more satisfactory option.

3.1.4 Adjustment of the organism suspension to the density of the 0.5 McFarland standard

Adjust the density of the organism suspension prepared as in 3.1.2 or 3.1.3 to equal that of the 0.5 McFarland standard by adding sterile distilled water. To aid comparison, compare the test and standard against a white background with a contrasting black line.

NB. Suspension should be used within 15 minutes.

3.1.5 Dilution of suspension equivalent to a 0.5 McFarland standard in distilled water before inoculation

1:100	1:10	No dilution
β -Haemolytic streptococci	Staphylococci	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>
Enterococci	<i>Serratia</i> spp.	
Enterobacteriaceae	<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	<i>N. meningitidis</i>	
<i>S. maltophilia</i>	<i>M. catarrhalis</i>	
<i>Acinetobacter</i> spp.	α -Haemolytic streptococci	
<i>Haemophilus</i> spp.		
<i>P. multocida</i>		

NB. These suspensions should be used within 15 min of preparation.

3.2 Photometric standardization of turbidity of suspensions

A photometric method of preparing inocula was described by Moosdeen *et al* (1988)¹ and from this the following simplified procedure has been developed.

3.2.1 Suspend colonies (touch 4-5 when possible) in 3 mL distilled water or broth in a 100 x 12 mm glass tube (note that tubes are not reused) to give just visible turbidity. Do not leave the organisms standing in water. It is essential to get an even suspension.

3.2.2 Zero the spectrophotometer with a sterile water or broth blank (as appropriate) at a wavelength of 500 nm. Measure the absorbance of the bacterial suspension.
[Spectrophotometer must have a cellholder for 100 x 12 mm test tubes. A much simpler photometer would also probably be acceptable. The 100 x 12 mm test tubes could also be replaced with another tube/cuvette system if required, but the dilutions would need to be recalibrated.]

3.2.3 From the table select the volume to transfer (with the appropriate fixed volume micropipette) to 5 mL sterile distilled water.
[As different spectrophotometers may differ slightly it may be necessary to adjust the dilutions slightly to achieve semi-confluent growth with any individual set of laboratory conditions].

Organisms	Absorbance reading at 500 nm	Volume (μ L) to transfer to 5 mL sterile distilled water
Enterobacteriaceae	0.01 - 0.05	250
Enterococci	>0.05 - 0.1	125
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	>0.1 - 0.3	40
Staphylococci	>0.3 - 0.6	20
	>0.6 - 1.0	10
<i>Haemophilus</i>	0.01 - 0.05	500
Streptococci	>0.05 - 0.1	250
Miscellaneous fastidious organisms	>0.1 - 0.3	125
	>0.3 - 0.6	80
	>0.6 - 1.0	40

3. 3. Direct Susceptibility Testing

3.3.1 *Direct susceptibility testing of urines*

The Working Party does not advocate direct susceptibility testing, as the control of inoculum is impossible. However, we are aware that this is a common practice in many laboratories and therefore we are suggesting methods that will achieve the correct inoculum size for a reasonable proportion of infected urines. The following methods have been developed and recommended by laboratories that use the BSAC method and we suggest adopting whichever method best suits individual laboratory working practice. If the inoculum is not correct and growth is not semi confluent, or the culture is mixed the test must be repeated.

(i) Method 1: thoroughly mix the urine, place a 10 μ L loop of urine in the centre of the susceptibility plate and spread with a dry swab.

(ii) Method 2: thoroughly mix the urine, then dip a sterile cotton-wool swab in the urine and remove excess. Make a cross in the centre of the susceptibility plate then spread with a sterile dry swab. If only small numbers of organisms are seen in microscopy, the initial cotton-wool swab may be used to inoculate and spread the susceptibility plate.

3.3.2 *Direct susceptibility testing of positive blood cultures*

The Working Party does not recommend direct susceptibility testing of positive blood culture. However, we are aware that this is common practice in many laboratories and therefore suggest a method that gives the correct inoculum size for a reasonable proportion of positive blood cultures. The method varies according to the Gram reaction of the infecting organism.

3.3.3 *Gram-negative bacilli.*

Using a venting needle, place one drop in 5 mL of sterile water and use this to inoculate Iso Sensitest or equivalent agar.

3.3.4 *Gram-positive organisms.*

It is not always possible to accurately assume the genera of Gram-positive organisms from the Gram's stain. However, careful observation of the morphology, coupled with some clinical information should make an "educated guess" correct most of the time.


3.3.4.1 *Staphylococci and enterococci.*

Using a venting needle, place three drops in 5 mL of sterile water and use this to inoculate Iso Sensitest or equivalent agar.

3.3.4.2 *Pneumococci, "viridans" streptococci and diphtheroids.*

Using a venting needle, place one drop in the centre of an Iso Sensitest or equivalent agar supplemented with 5% horse-blood, and spread evenly over the entire surface of the plate. If the inoculum is not correct and growth is not semi confluent, or the culture is mixed the test must be repeated.

3.4 Acceptable ranges for inoculum densities are shown below:



Example of the acceptable inoculum density range for a Gram-negative rod.

4. Inoculation of agar plates

Use the adjusted suspension within 15 min to inoculate plates by dipping a sterile cotton-wool swab into the suspension and remove the excess by turning the swab against the side of the container. Spread the inoculum evenly over the entire surface of the plate by swabbing in three directions. Allow the plate to dry before applying discs.

NB. If inoculated plates are left at room temperature for any length of time before the discs are applied, the organism may begin to grow, and this will result in reduced zones of inhibition. Discs should therefore be applied to the surface of the agar within 15 min of inoculation.

5. Antimicrobial discs

5.1. Disc contents

These are given in Tables V – XV111.

5.2. Application of discs

Discs should be firmly applied to the surface of an agar plate that has previously been dried. The contact with the agar should be even. A 90 mm plate will accommodate six discs without unacceptable overlapping of zones.

5.3. Storage and handling of discs

Loss of potency from discs will result in reduced zones of inhibition. To avoid the loss of potency due to inadequate handling the following procedures are essential:

- 5.3.1** Store discs in sealed containers with a desiccant and protected from light (this is particularly important for some light-susceptible agents such as metronidazole, chloramphenicol and quinolones).
- 5.3.2** Store stocks at -20°C except for drugs known to be unstable at this temperature. If it is not possible, store discs at < 8°C.
- 5.3.3** Store working supplies of discs at < 8°C.
- 5.3.4** To prevent condensation, allow discs to warm to room temperature before opening containers.
- 5.3.5** Store disc dispensers in sealed containers with an indicating desiccant.
- 5.3.6** Discard any discs on the expiry data shown on the side of the container.

6. Incubation

- 6.1** If plates are left at room temperature, after discs have been applied, larger zones of inhibition may be obtained compared with zones produced when plates are incubated immediately. Plates therefore should be incubated within 15 min of disc application.

6.2 Conditions of incubation

Organisms	Incubation conditions
Enterobacteriaceae	35-37°C in air for 18-20h
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	35-37°C in air for 18-20h
<i>S. maltophilia</i>	30°C in air for 18-20h
Staphylococci (other than methicillin/oxacillin/cefoxitin)	35-37°C in air for 18-20h
<i>S. aureus</i> using cefoxitin for the detection of methicillin/oxacillin resistance	35°C in air for 18-20h
Staphylococci using methicillin or oxacillin to detect resistance	30°C in air for 24 h
<i>M. catarrhalis</i>	35-37°C in air for 18-20h
α-Haemolytic streptococci	35-37°C in 4-6% CO ₂ for 18-20h
β-Haemolytic streptococci	35-37°C in air for 18-20h
Enterococci	35-37°C in air for 24h ¹
<i>N. meningitidis</i>	35-37°C in 4-6% CO ₂ for 18-20h
<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	35-37°C in 4-6% CO ₂ for 18-20h
<i>Haemophilus</i> spp.	35-37°C in 4-6% CO ₂ for 18-20h
<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	35-37°C in 4-6% CO ₂ for 18-20h
<i>P. multocida</i>	35-37°C in 4-6% CO ₂ for 18-20h

¹ It is essential that plates be incubated for at least 24h before reporting a strain as sensitive to vancomycin or teicoplanin.

NB. Avoid stacking plates too high in the incubator as this may affect results due to uneven heating of plates. The efficiency of incubators varies and therefore the control of incubation, including height of plate stacking, should be part of the laboratory's Quality Assurance programme.

7. Measuring zones


- 7.1** Measure (mm) diameters of zones of inhibition (edge should be taken as the point of inhibition as judged by the naked eye) of the control strain and test with a ruler, calipers

or an automated zone reader (a template may also be used for interpreting susceptibility. A programme for constructing templates is given on the BSAC web site bsac.org.uk). Tiny colonies at the edge, films of growth due to swarming of *Proteus* spp. and slight growth within sulphonamide or trimethoprim zones should be ignored. Colonies growing within the zone of inhibition should be subcultured and identified and the test repeated if necessary. For the detection of methicillin/oxacillin/cefoxitin resistance in staphylococci measure the obvious zone, taking care to examine zones carefully in good light for colonies, which may be minute, within zones of inhibition.

- 7.2** Confirm that the zone of inhibition for the control strain falls within the acceptable ranges in before interpreting the test (see section on control of the standardized method).

When the template is used for interpreting susceptibility (example below) the test plate is placed over the template and the zones of inhibition examined in relation to the template zones. If the zone of inhibition of the test strain is within the area marked with an **R** it is regarded as resistant. If the zone of inhibition is equal to or larger than the marked area it is considered susceptible.

Template for interpreting susceptibility



8. Methicillin/oxacillin/cefoxitin testing of staphylococci

8.1 Introduction

Methicillin susceptibility testing can be difficult. Expression of resistance is affected by test conditions and resistance is often heterogeneous with only a proportion of cells showing resistance. Adding NaCl or lowering incubation temperatures increases the number of resistant strains that can be detected. Methicillin susceptibility testing of coagulase-negative staphylococci is further complicated as some strains do not grow well on media containing NaCl and are often slower-growing than *S. aureus*. Detection of methicillin resistance in coagulase-negative staphylococci may require incubation for 48h.

Method 1: Detection of methicillin/oxacillin resistance in *S. aureus* and coagulase-negative staphylococci

8.1.1 Medium

Prepare Columbia (Oxoid) or Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid) following the manufacturer's instructions and add 2% NaCl. After autoclaving, mix well to distribute the sodium chloride. Pour plates to give a depth of 4 mm in a 90 mm sterile petri dish (25 ml). Dry and store plates as detailed in Sections 1.3 and 1.4.

8.1.2 Inoculum

Prepare inoculum as detailed in Section 3.

8.1.3 Control

Susceptible controls (ATCC 25923 or NCTC 6571) test disc content. NCTC 12493 is a resistant strain used to check that the test will detect resistant strains (although no strain can be representative of all the MRSA types in terms of their response to changes in test conditions).

8.1.4 Discs

Place a methicillin 5µg or an oxacillin 1µg disc on to the surface of inoculated agar. Discs should be stored and handled as detailed in Section 5.3.

8.1.5 Incubation

Incubate for 24 h at 30°C.

8.1.6 Reading and Interpretation

Measure zone diameters (mm) as detailed in section 7. Examine zones carefully in good light to detect colonies, which may be minute, in zones. Colonies growing within zones should be identified and re-tested for resistance to methicillin/oxacillin.

For both methicillin and oxacillin interpretation is as follows:
susceptible = ≥ 15 mm diameter, resistant = ≤ 14 mm diameter

NB. Some hyper-producers of β -lactamase give zones within the range of 6-14 mm and, if possible, should be checked by PCR for *mecA* or by latex agglutination tests for PBP2a. Increase in methicillin/oxacillin zone size in the presence of clavulanic acid is not a reliable test for hyper-producers of β -lactamase as zones of inhibition with some MRSA also increase in the presence of clavulanic acid. Rarely, hyper-producers of β -lactamase give no zone in this test and would therefore not be distinguished from MRSA.

8.2 Method 2: Detection of methicillin/oxacillin/cefoxitin resistance in *S. aureus* with cefoxitin as test agent.

8.2.1 Medium

Prepare Iso-Sensitest as detailed in Section 1.

8.2.2 Inoculum

Prepare inoculum as detailed in Section 3.

8.2.3 Control

Susceptible controls (ATCC 25923 or NCTC 6571) test disc content. NCTC 12493 is a resistant strain used to check that the test will detect resistant strains (although no strain can be representative of all the MRSA types in terms of their response to changes in test conditions).

8.2.4 Discs

Place a 10 µg cefoxitin disc on the surface of inoculated agar. Discs should be stored and handled as detailed in Section 5.3.

8.2.5 Incubation

Incubate at 35°C for 18-20h.

NB. It is important that the temperature does not exceed 36°C as tests incubated at higher temperatures are less reliable.

8.2.6 Reading and interpretation

Measure zone diameters as detailed in Section 7 reading the obvious zone. Examine zones carefully in good light to detect colonies, which may be minute, in zones. Colonies growing within zones should be identified and re-tested for resistance to methicillin/oxacillin.

Interpretation is as follows:

Susceptible = ≥ 22 mm diameter, resistant = ≤ 21 mm diameter

NB. Hyper-producers of β -lactamase give zones within the range of the susceptible population.

9. MIC and zone diameter breakpoints

All breakpoints are subject to review in the light of additional data and the Working Party would welcome any data relating to breakpoints and control zone ranges. *Ad hoc* modifications to breakpoints are not acceptable. The Working Party has set up a mechanism to modify and publish changes to breakpoints in a timely fashion, *via* the BSAC www site (www.bsac.org.uk) and any changes will be dated.

10. Acknowledgment

The BSAC would like to acknowledge the assistance of The Swedish Reference Group for Antibiotics (SRGA) in supplying some data for inclusion in these Tables.

11. References

1. Moosdeen, F., Williams, J.D. & Secker, A. (1988). Standardization of inoculum size for disc susceptibility testing: a preliminary report of a spectrophotometric method. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 21, 439-43.

Table V. MIC and zone breakpoints for Enterobacteriaceae and *Acinetobacter*.

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)				Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)		
	R >	I	S ≤	Disc content (µg)	R ≤	I	S ≥
Amikacin	16	16	8	30	15	16-18	19
Amoxicillin ^a	16	16	8	10	11	12-14	15
Ampicillin ^a	16	16	8	10	11	12-14	15
Aztreonam ^b	1	-	1	30	23	-	24
Cefaclor	1	-	1	30	34	-	35
Cefamandole ^{c,d}	8	-	8	30	19	-	20
Cefepime	1	-	1	30	31	-	32
Cefixime	1	-	1	5	19	-	20
Cefoperazone ^c	4	-	4	30	24	-	25
Cefotaxime	1	-	1	30	29	-	30
Cefotetan ^c	4	-	4	30	23	-	24
Cefoxitin ^d	8	-	8	30	19	-	20
Cefpirome	1	-	1	20	24	-	25
Cefpodoxime ^e	1	-	1	10	25	-	26
Ceftazidime	2	-	2	30	27	-	28
Ceftazidime ^f	2	-	2	30	21	-	22
<i>E. coli</i> & <i>Klebsiella</i> spp.							
Ceftibuten	1	-	1	10	27	-	28
Ceftizoxime	1	-	1	30	29	-	30
Ceftriaxone	1	-	1	30	27	-	28
Cefuroxime (axetil)	1	-	1	30	24	-	25
Cefuroxime (parenteral)	8	-	8	30	19	-	20
Cephalothin ^d	8	-	8	30	26	-	27
Cephradine ^d	8	-	8	30	11	-	12
Chloramphenicol	8	-	8	30	20	-	21
Ciprofloxacin ^{g,h}	1	1	0.5	1	16	17-19	20
Co-amoxiclav ^a	16	16	8	20/10	11	12-14	15
Colistin ⁱ	4	-	4	25	14	-	15
Co-trimoxazole ^{j,k}	32	-	32	25	15	-	16
Doxycycline	1	-	1	30	28	-	29
Ertapenem	2	-	2	10	33	-	34
Gatifloxacin	1	-	1	2	19	-	20
Gemifloxacin	0.25	-	0.25	1	19	-	20
Gentamicin	4	4	2	10	16	17-19	20
Imipenem	4	-	4	10	22	-	23
Levofloxacin	2	2	1	1	13	14-16	17
Meropenem	4	-	4	10	22	-	23
Mezlocillin	16	-	16	75	21	-	22
Moxifloxacin	1	1	0.5	1	16	17-19	20
Ofloxacin ^l	1	1	0.5	5	25	26-28	29
Piperacillin /Tazobactam ^m	16	-	16	75/10	21	-	22
Piperacillin	16	-	16	75	23	-	24
Streptomycin ^c	8	-	8	10	12	-	13
Sulphamethoxazole	32	-	32	100	13	-	14
Timentin	16	-	16	85	20	-	21
Tobramycin	4	4	2	10	17	18-20	21
Trimethoprim	2	1-2	0.5	2.5	14	15-19	20

Problems with testing *Acinetobacter* and *Serratia* spp. have been related to difficulties in achieving the correct inoculum. Once a clinically significant isolate of *Acinetobacter* sp. or *Serratia* sp. has been identified, it might be prudent to determine the susceptibility by an MIC

method, or the disc test must be repeated if the inoculum density is outside the acceptable range.

The information in bold is tentative. Breakpoints will remain tentative for one year from when first published.

- a. These interpretative criteria apply to *E. coli* and *P. mirabilis* only. See Appendix 1.
- b. The MIC breakpoint for aztreonam has been lowered to ensure that ESBL producers with aztreonam MIC values of 4 mg/L are not interpreted as susceptible to this antibiotic.
- c. Zone breakpoints only valid for *E. coli*, *Klebsiella* spp. and *Pr. mirabilis*.
- d. The MIC breakpoints for this β -lactam antibiotic has been amended based on MIC distribution data for the population lacking a mechanism of resistance.
- e. All Enterobacteriaceae isolates should be tested with cefpodoxime or both cefotaxime (or ceftriaxone) and ceftazidime. Enterobacteriaceae with reduced susceptibility or resistance to cefpodoxime, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime or ceftazidime should be tested for the presence of ESBLs. Organisms inferred to have ESBLs should be reported resistant to all penicillins (except temocillin) and cephalosporins, including the fourth generation cephalosporins cefepime and ceftipime. For serious infections carbapenems (imipenem, meropenem and ertapenem) are consistently active and are the treatment of choice.
- f. Isolates of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. have been identified with MICs of ceftazidime of 1 mg/L. These MICs are higher than the 'wild susceptible' population (c. 0.12 mg/L). These isolates do not possess extended spectrum β -lactamases and until a mechanism of resistance has been identified this tentative zone diameter breakpoint is recommended.
- g. Isolates of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. with ciprofloxacin MICs of 0.25 and 0.5 mg/L may be reported as resistant. These MICs are higher than the 'wild sensitive' population for these species and may indicate a mechanism of resistance with clinical significance.
- h. *Salmonella* spp. - for ciprofloxacin there is clinical evidence to indicate a poor response in systemic infections caused by *Salmonella* spp. with reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin MICs 0.125-1 mg/L). This reduced susceptibility is most reliably detected with nalidixic acid 30 μ g discs, as strains with reduced susceptibility show no zone of inhibition.
- i. Colistin - some strains of Enterobacteriaceae (particularly *Serratia*, *Providencia*, *Citrobacter* and *Enterobacter* spp.) have been shown to produce clear zones of inhibition with small colonies around the disc. These isolates should be regarded as resistant as the MICs typically exceed 128 mg/L.
- j. Based on sulphamethoxazole MIC.
- k. For advice on testing susceptibility to co-trimoxazole see Appendix 3.
- l. Recommendations for a 1 μ g ofloxacin disc have been removed because these discs are not available commercially.
- m. See Appendix 2

NB. The recommendations for susceptibility testing tetracycline have been removed from the Table to allow a review of the breakpoints for this group of agents.

Table VI. MIC and zone breakpoints for *Pseudomonas*

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)				Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)		
	R >	I	S ≤	Disc content (µg)	R ≤	I	S ≥
Amikacin	16	16	8	30	15	16-18	19
Aztreonam	8	-	8	30	22	-	23
Carbenicillin	128	-	128	100	12	-	13
Cefotaxime	1	-	1	30	26	-	27
Cefpirome	1	-	1	20	19	20-24	25
Ceftazidime	8	-	8	30	23	-	24
Ceftriaxone	1	-	1	30	29	-	30
Ciprofloxacin	1	1	0.5	1	12	13-22	23
Ciprofloxacin	1	1	0.5	5	19	20-29	30
Colistin	4	-	4	25	13	-	14
Gatifloxacin	1	-	1	2	19	-	20
Gemifloxacin	0.25	-	0.25	5	19	-	20
Gentamicin	4	-	4	10	17	-	18
Imipenem ^a	4	-	4	10	21	-	22
Levofloxacin	2	2	1	5	16	17-21	22
Meropenem ^a	4	-	4	10	21	-	22
Moxifloxacin	4	2-4	1	5	17	18-24	25
Netilmicin	4	2-4	1	30	15	16-18	19
Piperacillin	16	-	16	75	23	-	24
Piperacillin ^b /tazobactam	16	-	16	75/10	21	-	22
Ticarcillin	64	32-64	16	75	19	-	20
Timentin	64	32-64	16	85	19	-	20
Tobramycin	4	-	4	10	19	-	20

The information in bold is tentative. Breakpoints will remain tentative for one year from when first published.

- The detection of resistance mediated by carbapenemase is difficult, particularly if resistance is not fully expressed. Consideration should be given to testing ceftazidime and carbapenem resistant isolates for the presence of carbapenemases.
- See Appendix 2.

NB. For *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, susceptibility testing is not recommended except for cotrimoxazole (see www.bsac.org.uk BSAC Standardized Susceptibility Testing Method, Additional Methodology, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*). The following test conditions are recommended: Iso-Sensitest agar, semi-confluent growth, 25 µg cotrimoxazole disc, incubation at 30°C for 18-20h, susceptible = ≥ 20 mm, resistant ≤ 19 mm.

Table VII. MIC and zone breakpoints for staphylococci.

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)				Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)		
	R >	I	S ≤	Disc content (µg unless stated)	R ≤	I	S ≥
Amikacin <i>S. aureus</i>	16	16	8	30	15	16-18	19
Amikacin coagulase-negative staphylococci	16	16	8	30	21	22-24	25
Azithromycin	1	-	1	15	19	-	20
Cefoxitin^a<i>S. aureus</i>	4	-	4	10	21	-	22
Chloramphenicol	8	-	8	10	14	-	15
Ciprofloxacin ^b	1	-	1	1	17	-	18
Clarithromycin	0.5	-	0.5	2	19	-	20
Clindamycin	0.5	-	0.5	2	25	-	26
Co-amoxiclav ^a	1	-	1	3	17	-	18
Co-trimoxazole ^{c,d}	32	-	32	25	16	-	17
Erythromycin	0.5	-	0.5	5	19	-	20
Fusidic acid	1	-	1	10	29	-	30
Gatifloxacin	1	-	1	2	19	-	20
Gemifloxacin	0.25	-	0.25	1	19	-	20
Gentamicin	1	-	1	10	19	-	20
Linezolid ^e	4	-	4	10	19	-	20
Methicillin ^{a,f}	4	-	4	5	14	-	15
Moxifloxacin	1	-	1	1	19	-	20
Mupirocon ^g	4	-	4	5	21	-	22
Mupirocin ^h	256	8-256	4	20	6	7-26	27
Neomycin	-	-	-	10	16	-	17
Ofloxacin ⁱ	1	-	1	5	27	-	28
Oxacillin ^{a,f,j}	2	-	2	1	14	-	15
Penicillin ^k	0.12	-	0.12	1 unit	24	-	25
Quinupristin/ Dalfopristin ^l	2	-	2	15	19	-	20
Rifampicin	0.06	-	0.06	2	29	-	30
Teicoplanin ^{m,n}	8	8	4	30	14	-	15
Telithromycin	0.5	-	0.5	15	26	-	27
Tetracycline	1	-	1	10	19	-	20
Tobramycin for <i>S. aureus</i>	1	-	1	10	20	-	21
Tobramycin for coagulase negative staphylococci	1	-	1	10	29	-	30
Trimethoprim ^o	0.5	-	0.5	5	19	-	20
Vancomycin ⁿ	8	8	4	5	11	-	12

The information in bold is tentative. Breakpoints will remain tentative for one year from when first published.

- Staphylococci exhibiting resistance to methicillin/oxacillin/cefoxitin should be regarded as resistant to other penicillins, cephalosporins, carbapenems and combinations of β -lactam and β -lactamase inhibitors
- MIC breakpoints relate to high dose therapy (750 mg).
- For advice on testing susceptibility to co-trimoxazole see Appendix 3.
- Based on sulphamethoxazole MIC.
- Information on clinical response in patients with serious staphylococcal infections is not yet available. In such patients an MIC determination might be appropriate.
- Recommendations for tests on Mueller-Hinton or Columbia agars with 2% NaCl.
- Mupirocin – an Etest or other MIC method should be performed on any strain designated resistant by disc method. This will identify whether the strain has low-level resistance (MIC 8-256 mg/L) or high-level resistance (MIC \geq 512 mg/L). For epidemiological tracking

- purposes, isolates with low-level resistance to mupirocin (MICs 8-256 mg/L) might be slower to eradicate.
- h. For epidemiological tracking purposes, isolates with low-level resistance to mupirocin (MICs 8-256 mg/L) might be slower to eradicate.
 - i. Recommendations for a 1 µg ofloxacin disc have been removed because these discs are not available commercially.
 - j. MIC breakpoint for coagulase-negative staphylococci is currently under review.
 - k. Penicillin; check for heaped zone edge (= resistant)
 - l. The presence of blood has a marked effect on the activity of quinupristin/dalfopristin. On the rare occasions when blood needs to be added to enhance the growth of staphylococci, susceptible = ≥ 15 mm, resistant ≤ 14 mm.
 - m. Teicoplanin - disc testing not recommended for coagulase-negative staphylococci. An MIC method should be used to determine susceptibility.
 - n. Glycopeptide intermediate *S. aureus* (GISA) cannot be detected by this method or any other disc diffusion method. Population analysis is the most reliable method for detecting heterogeneous resistance to vancomycin. If, on clinical grounds, resistance to vancomycin is suspected, it is recommended that the organism be sent to a specialist laboratory, such as Southmead Hospital in Bristol or the Antibiotic Resistance Monitoring and Reference Laboratory at Colindale for further investigation.
 - o. Amended zone diameter breakpoints are microbiological breakpoints based on the MIC distribution for the wild type population. However, there is no clear evidence correlating these breakpoints with clinical efficacy.

Table VIII. MIC and zone breakpoints for *S. pneumoniae*.

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)				Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)		
	R>	I	S ≤	Disc content (µg)	R ≤	I	S ≥
Azithromycin	1	-	1	15	19	-	20
Cefaclor ^a	1	-	1	30	24	-	25
Cefixime ^a	1	-	1	5	19	-	20
Cefotaxime ^a	1	-	1	5	29	-	30
Cefpodoxime ^a	1	-	1	1	21	-	22
Ceftibuten ^a	1	-	1	10	27	-	28
Ceftizoxime ^a	1	-	1	30	29	-	30
Ceftriaxone ^a	1	-	1	30	27	-	28
Cefuroxime ^a	1	-	1	5	24	-	25
Cephadroxil ^a	1	-	1	30	24	-	25
Cephalexin ^a	2	-	2	30	24	-	25
Chloramphenicol	8	-	8	10	17	-	18
Ciprofloxacin ^b	2	2	0.12	1	9	10-24	25
Clarithromycin	0.5	-	0.5	2	19	-	20
Co-trimoxazole ^{c,d}	32	-	32	25	16	-	17
Ertapenem ^a	1	0.06-1	0.03	10	27	28-39	40
Erythromycin	0.5	-	0.5	5	19	-	20
Gatifloxacin	1	-	1	2	19	-	20
Gemifloxacin	0.25	-	0.25	1	19	-	20
Imipenem ^a	4	-	4	10	24	-	25
Levofloxacin	2	-	2	1	9	-	10
Linezolid	4	4	2	10	19	-	20
Meropenem ^a	4	-	4	10	27	-	28
Moxifloxacin	0.5	-	0.5	1	17	-	18
Ofloxacin ^{b,e}	4	4	0.12	5	15	16-27	28
Penicillin ^{a,f}	1	0.12-1	0.06	Oxacillin 1	19	-	20
Quinupristin/ Dalfopristin	2	-	2	15	19	-	20
Rifampicin	1	-	1	5	21	-	22
Telithromycin	0.5	-	0.5	15	28	-	29
Tetracycline	1	-	1	10	19	-	20
Vancomycin	4	-	4	5	12	-	13

The information in bold is tentative. Breakpoints will remain tentative for one year from when first published.

- Organisms with reduced susceptibility to penicillin: confirm resistance with a test for penicillin MIC. Organisms with an MIC ≤ 1 mg/L are considered susceptible to β-lactam antibiotics except in infections of the central nervous system. In addition, cefotaxime MIC determination is advised for strains isolated from meningitis or other invasive infections.
- Isolates with ciprofloxacin or ofloxacin MICs of ≤2 mg/L are considered as having intermediate susceptibility.
- For advice on testing susceptibility to co-trimoxazole see Appendix 3.
- Based on sulphamethoxazole MIC.
- Recommendations for a 1 µg ofloxacin disc have been removed because these discs are not available commercially.
- Penicillin resistance in *S. pneumoniae* is detected with an oxacillin 1 µg disc.

Table IX. MIC and zone breakpoints for enterococci.

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)				Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)		
	R >	I	S ≤	Disc content (µg)	R ≤	I	S ≥
Ampicillin	8	-	8	10	19	-	20
Azithromycin	1	-	1	15	29	-	30
Gentamicin ^a	128	-	128	200	9	-	10
Imipenem	4	-	4	10	19	-	20
Linezolid	4	-	4	10	19	-	20
Meropenem	4	-	4	10	19	-	20
Quinupristin/ dalfopristin ^b	2	-	2	15	19	-	20
Teicoplanin ^c	8	8	4	30	19	-	20
Vancomycin ^c	8	8	4	5	12	-	13

- a. High-level gentamicin resistant enterococci usually give no zone or only a trace of inhibition around gentamicin 200 µg discs. Occasionally, however, a plasmid carrying the resistance may be unstable and the resistance is seen as a zone of inhibition with a few small colonies within the zone. Retesting of resistant colonies results in growth to the disc or increased numbers of colonies within the zone. Zones should be carefully examined to avoid missing such resistant organisms. If in doubt, isolates may be sent to the reference laboratory for confirmation.
- b. The presence of blood has a marked effect on the activity of quinupristin/dalfopristin. On the rare occasions when blood needs to be added to enhance the growth of enterococci, susceptible = ≥15 mm, resistant = ≤14 mm.
- c. It is essential that plates be incubated for at least 24 h before reporting a strain as susceptible to vancomycin or teicoplanin.

Table XI. MIC and zone breakpoints for α - Haemolytic streptococci.

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)			Disc content (μg unless stated)	Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)		
	R >	I	S \leq		R \leq	I	S \geq
Amoxicillin	1	-	1	2	19	-	20
Cefotaxime	1	-	1	5	20	-	21
Clindamycin	0.5	-	0.5	2	19	-	20
Erythromycin	0.5	-	0.5	5	19	-	20
Linezolid	2	-	2	10	19	-	20
Penicillin	0.12	-	0.12	1 unit	21	-	22
Teicoplanin	4	-	4	30	15	-	16
Tetracycline	1	-	1	10	23	-	24
Vancomycin	4	-	4	5	13	-	14

The information in bold is tentative. Breakpoints will remain tentative for one year from when first published.

Table X. MIC and zone breakpoints for β -Haemolytic streptococci.

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)			Disc content (μ g unless stated)	Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)		
	R >	I	S \leq		R \leq	I	S \geq
Azithromycin	1	-	1	15	19	-	20
Cefadroxil	1	-	1	30	24	-	25
Cefixime	1	-	1	5	19	-	20
Cefotaxime	1	-	1	5	27	-	28
Cephalexin	2	-	2	30	24	-	25
Cephalothin	1	-	1	30	28	-	29
Clarithromycin ^a	0.5	-	0.5	2	19	-	20
Co-trimoxazole ^{b,c}	32	-	32	25	16	-	17
Ertapenem	2	-	2	10	34	-	35
Erythromycin	0.5	-	0.5	5	19	-	20
Linezolid	4	4	2	10	19	-	20
Penicillin	0.12	-	0.12	1 unit	19	-	20
Telithromycin	0.5	-	0.5	15	25	-	26
Tetracycline	1	-	1	10	19	-	20

The information in bold is tentative. Breakpoints will remain tentative for one year from when first published.

- a. Active metabolite not taken into consideration.
- b. For advice on testing susceptibility to co-trimoxazole see Appendix 3.
- c. Based on sulphamethoxazole MIC.

Table XII. MIC and zone breakpoints for *M. catarrhalis* .

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)				Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)		
	R >	I	S ≤	Disc content (µg)	R ≤	I	S ≥
Ampicillin ^a	1	-	1	2	29	-	30
Cefaclor	1	-	1	30	22	-	23
Cefuroxime	1	-	1	5	19	-	20
Chloramphenicol	2	-	2	10	22	-	23
Ciprofloxacin ^b	0.5	-	0.5	1	17	-	18
Clarithromycin ^c	0.5	-	0.5	2	19	-	20
Co-amoxiclav	1	-	1	2/1	18	-	19
Co-trimoxazole ^{d,e}	32	-	32	25	11	-	12
Ertapenem	2	-	2	10	34	-	35
Erythromycin	0.5	-	0.5	5	27	-	28
Gatifloxacin ^b	1	-	1	2	19	-	20
Gemifloxacin ^b	0.25	-	0.25	1	19	-	20
Levofloxacin ^b	1	-	1	1	19	-	20
Linezolid	4	-	4	10	19	-	20
Moxifloxacin ^b	0.5	-	0.5	1	17	-	18
Ofloxacin ^b	0.5	-	0.5	5	34	-	35
Telithromycin	0.5	-	0.5	15	29	-	30
Tetracycline	1	-	1	10	21	-	22

The information in bold is tentative. Breakpoints will remain tentative for one year from when first published.

- a. Test for β -lactamase. It must be remembered that β -lactamase positive isolates of *M. catarrhalis* are often slow to become positive and tests for β -lactamase production must be examined after the longest recommended time for the test before being interpreted as negative.
- b. Quinolone resistance is most reliably detected with nalidixic acid. Isolates with reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones have no zone of inhibition with nalidixic acid.
- c. Active metabolite not taken into consideration.
- d. For advice on testing susceptibility to co-trimoxazole see Appendix 3.
- e. Based on sulphamethoxazole MIC.

Table XIII. MIC and zone breakpoints for *N. gonorrhoeae*.

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)			Disc content (μg unless stated)	Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)		
	R >	I	S \leq		R \leq	I	S \geq
Azithromycin	1	-	1	15	27	-	28
Cefixime ^a	1	-	1	5	29	-	30
Cefotaxime ^a	1	-	1	5	29	-	30
Ceftriaxone ^a	0.25	-	0.25	5	34	-	35
Cefuroxime	1	-	1	5	19	-	20
Ciprofloxacin ^{b,c}	0.06	0.06	0.03	1	28	-	29
Erythromycin	0.5	-	0.5	5	11	-	12
Nalidixic acid ^b	-	-	-	30	-	-	-
Penicillin ^d	1	0.12-1	0.06	1 unit	17	18-25	26
Rifampicin	1	-	1	2	20	-	21
Spectinomycin	64	-	64	25	13	-	14
Tetracycline ^e	1	-	1	10	13	-	27

The information in bold is tentative. Breakpoints will remain tentative for one year from when first published.

- Resistance to ceftriaxone, cefotaxime and cefixime has not been described, however isolates with chromosomally encoded reduced susceptibility to penicillin have slightly reduced zones of inhibition with these antibiotics but remain susceptible. Results for isolates with reduced zones around ceftriaxone, cefotaxime and cefixime discs should be confirmed by MIC determinations.
- Quinolone resistance is most reliably detected with nalidixic acid. Isolates with reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones have no zone of inhibition with nalidixic acid.
- The MIC breakpoint has been lowered to ensure that isolates with reduced susceptibility to ciprofloxacin are detected.
- Test for β -lactamase.
- Use tetracycline result to infer susceptibility to doxycycline. For epidemiological purposes, isolates with plasmid-mediated resistance to tetracycline may be distinguished from those with chromosomal resistance on the basis of zone diameters; isolates with plasmid-mediated resistance have no zones of inhibition and those with low-level chromosomal resistance have zones 14-26 mm.

Table XIV. MIC and zone breakpoints for *N. meningitidis*.

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)			Disc content (μg unless stated)	Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)		
	R >	I	S \leq		R \leq	I	S \geq
Cefotaxime	1	-	1	5	29	-	30
Chloramphenicol	2	-	2	10	19	-	20
Ciprofloxacin ^a	0.06	0.06	0.03	1	31	-	32
Erythromycin	0.5	-	0.5	5	26	-	27
Penicillin	0.06	-	0.06	1 unit	24	-	25
Rifampicin	1	-	1	2	29	-	30
Tetracycline	1	-	1	10	21	-	22

a. Quinolone resistance is most reliably detected with nalidixic acid. Isolates with reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones have no zone of inhibition with nalidixic acid.

Table XV. MIC and zone breakpoints for *H. influenzae*.

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)			Disc content (µg unless stated)	Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)		
	R >	I	S ≤		R ≤	I	S ≥
Amoxicillin ^{a,b}	1	-	1	2	16	-	17
Ampicillin ^{a,b}	1	-	1	2	17	-	18
Azithromycin ^c	4	0.5-4	0.25	15	19	20-34	35
Cefaclor	1	-	1	30	36	-	37
Cefotaxime	1	-	1	5	24	-	25
Ceftazidime	2	-	2	30	29	-	30
Ceftriaxone	1	-	1	30	34	-	35
Cefuroxime ^b	1	-	1	5	16	-	17
Chloramphenicol	2	-	2	10	24	-	25
Ciprofloxacin ^d	0.5	-	0.5	1	27	-	28
Clarithromycin ^e	16	1-16	0.5	5	9	10-24	25
Co-amoxiclav ^b	1	-	1	2/1	16	-	17
Co-trimoxazole ^{f,g}	32	-	32	25	21	-	22
Ertapenem	2	-	2	10	29	-	30
Erythromycin	8	1-8	0.5	5	14	15-27	28
Gatifloxacin ^d	1	-	1	2	19	-	20
Gemifloxacin ^d	0.25	-	0.25	1	19	-	20
Imipenem	4	-	4	10	19	-	20
Levofloxacin ^d	1	-	1	1	19	-	20
Meropenem	4	-	4	10	27	-	28
Moxifloxacin ^d	0.5	-	0.5	1	17	-	18
Nalidixic acid ^d	-	-	-	30	-	-	-
Ofloxacin ^d	0.5	-	0.5	5	36	-	37
Telithromycin ^h	2	1-2	0.5	15	15	16-19	20
Tetracycline	1	-	1	10	21	-	22
Trimethoprim	0.5	-	0.5	2.5	20	-	21

The information in bold is tentative. Breakpoints will remain tentative for one year from when first published.

- Test for β-lactamase.
- See Appendix 4
- No resistant strains yet described.
- Quinolone resistance is most reliably detected with nalidixic acid. Strains with reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones give no zone of inhibition with a 30µg nalidixic acid disc.
- Active metabolite not taken into consideration.
- For advice on testing susceptibility to co-trimoxazole see Appendix 3.
- Based on sulphamethoxazole MIC.
- The mode telithromycin MIC for these organisms is 1 mg/L and therefore the majority of isolates will be interpreted as having intermediate susceptibility.

Table XVI. MIC and zone breakpoints for *P. multocida*.

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)			Disc content (μg unless stated)	Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)		
	R >	I	S \leq		R \leq	I	S \geq
Ampicillin	1	-	1	10	29	-	30
Cefotaxime	1	-	1	5	33	-	34
Ciprofloxacin ^a	1	-	1	1	28	-	29
Nalidixic acid	-	-	-	30	27	-	28
Penicillin	0.12	-	0.12	1 unit	21	-	22
Tetracycline	1	-	1	10	25	-	26

a. Quinolone resistance is most reliably detected with nalidixic acid.

Table XVII. MIC and zone breakpoints for urinary tract infections (Gram-negative rods)^{a-d}.

Antibiotic	MIC BP (mg/L)			Disc content (µg)	Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)								
	R >	I	S ≤		Coliforms			<i>E. coli</i>			<i>Pr. mirabilis</i>		
	R >	I	S ≤		R ≤	I	S ≥	R ≤	I	S ≥	R ≤	I	S ≥
Amoxicillin ^e	32	-	32	25	11	-	12	11	-	12	11	-	12
Ampicillin ^e	32	-	32	25	11	-	12	11	-	12	11	-	12
Cephalexin ^f	32	-	32	30	-	-	-	15	-	16	11	-	12
Ciprofloxacin	4	-	4	1	19	-	20	19	-	20	19	-	20
Co-amoxiclav ^e	32	-	32	20/10	11	-	12	11	-	12	11	-	12
Fosfomycin ^{g,h}	128	-	128	200/50	-	-	-	19	-	20	33	-	34
Mecillinam ⁱ	8	-	8	10	-	-	-	13	-	14	13	-	14
Nalidixic acid	16	-	16	30	17	-	18	17	-	18	17	-	18
Nitrofurantoin	32	-	32	200	-	-	-	19	-	20	-	-	-
Norfloxacin	4	-	4	2	15	-	16	15	-	16	15	-	16
Trimethoprim	2	-	2	2.5	16	-	17	16	-	17	16	-	17

The information in bold is tentative. Breakpoints will remain tentative for one year from when first published.

- If an organism is isolated from multiple sites, for example from blood and urine, interpretation of susceptibility should be made with regard to the systemic site, that is, if the blood isolate is resistant and the urine isolate susceptible, both should be reported similarly (resistant) irrespective of the results obtained using interpretative criteria for the urine isolates.
- For agents not listed criteria given for systemic isolates may be used for urinary tract isolates (see Tables V and VI).
- Direct susceptibility tests on urine samples may be performed as long as the inoculum gives semi-confluent growth.
- In the absence of definitive organism identification, use the recommendations most appropriate for the presumptive identification, accepting that on some occasions the interpretation may be incorrect. A more cautious approach is to use the systemic recommendations.
- These interpretative standards apply only to *E. coli* and *P. mirabilis* and not to species that have chromosomal penicillinases (*Klebsiella* spp.) or those that typically have inducible AmpC enzymes (e.g. *Enterobacter* spp., *Citrobacter* spp. and *Serratia* spp.). The identification of enterobacteriaceae to species level is essential before applying expert rules for the interpretation of susceptibility. See Appendix 1.
- Cefadroxil - report as for cephalexin.
- = Fosfomycin/glucose 6 phosphate
- Fosfomycin – the susceptibility of *Proteus* spp that swarm up to the disc can be difficult to interpret.
- Isolates of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. that produce ESBLs often appear susceptible to mecillinam *in vitro* but clinical efficacy against these organisms is unproven.

Table XVIII. MIC and zone breakpoints for urinary tract infections (Gram-positive cocci) ^{a-c}.

Antibiotic	MIC BP (mg/L)			Disc content (μ g)	Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)								
	R >	I	S \leq		Enterococci			<i>S. saprophyticus</i>			Group B streptococci		
					R \leq	I	S \geq	R \leq	I	S \geq	R \leq	I	S \geq
Ampicillin	32	-	32	25	19	-	20	25	-	26	25	-	26
Cephalexin ^d	32	-	32	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	24
Ciprofloxacin	4	-	4	1	11	-	12	17	-	18	12	-	13
Ciprofloxacin	4	-	4	5	15	-	16	-	-	-	18	-	19
Co-amoxiclav	32	-	32	20/10	20	-	21	27	-	28	27	-	28
Fosfomycin ^e	128	-	128	200/50	19	-	20	19	-	20	-	-	-
Mecillinam	64	-	64	50	-	-	-	9	-	10	-	-	-
Nalidixic acid	16	-	16	30	17	-	18	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrofurantoin	32	-	32	200	14	-	15	19	-	20	19	-	20
Norfloxacin	4	-	4	2	15	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trimethoprim ^f	2	-	2	2.5	21	-	22	14	-	15	15	-	16

The information in bold is tentative. Breakpoints will remain tentative for one year from when first published.

- If an organism is isolated from multiple sites, for example from blood and urine, interpretation of susceptibility should be made with regard to the systemic site, that is, if the blood isolate is resistant and the urine isolate susceptible, both should be reported similarly (resistant) irrespective of the results obtained using interpretative criteria for the urine isolates.
- Direct susceptibility tests on urine samples may be performed as long as the inoculum gives semi-confluent growth.
- Isolates of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* should be treated as from systemic infections because they are usually associated with more serious infections.
- Cefadroxil -report as for cephalexin.
- Fosfomycin/glucose 6 phosphate.
- There is some doubt about the clinical relevance of testing the susceptibility of enterococci to trimethoprim

Appendix 1. Amended January 2005.**Amendments to the minimum inhibitory concentration and zone diameter breakpoints for ampicillin, amoxicillin and co-amoxiclav for interpreting the susceptibility of Enterobacteriaceae**

In February 2003, after reviewing recent MIC and zone diameter data the susceptible MIC breakpoints for ampicillin, amoxicillin and co-amoxiclav were raised to ≤ 16 mg/L for isolates from systemic infections. This change was made to avoid interpreting organisms at the top end of the normal susceptible population as resistant.

However, some Enterobacteriaceae that are chromosomal AmpC enzyme producers have been misclassified as susceptible to these agents using the modified criteria. The breakpoints have therefore been amended again to include an intermediate category. Thus, the susceptible MIC breakpoint for ampicillin, amoxicillin and co-amoxiclav is ≤ 8 mg/L with an intermediate category of 16 mg/L and resistant > 16 mg/L. The zone diameter breakpoints have been amended accordingly, thus the zone diameter breakpoint are as follows: ≥ 15 mm = susceptible, 12-14 mm = intermediate and ≤ 11 mm = resistant.

For 'coliforms' isolated from urinary tract infections the MIC breakpoint remains the same, but to improve reproducibility in disc diffusion tests the zone diameter breakpoints for ampicillin, amoxicillin and co-amoxiclav have been amended so that a zone diameter ≥ 12 mm = susceptible with amoxicillin 25 μ g, ampicillin 25 μ g and co-amoxiclav 20/10 μ g disc contents.

Appendix 2**Review of the zone diameter breakpoints for piperacillin/tazobactam for interpreting the susceptibility of Enterobacteriaceae**

Data from a recent study in the UK and Ireland where susceptibility to piperacillin/tazobactam was determined by the BSAC standardized disc diffusion method has been reviewed by the BSAC Working Party on Susceptibility Testing. In this study five percent of the isolates and all resistant organisms were sent to a central laboratory for testing. Scattergram plots of MIC versus the zone diameter (mm) were constructed. To reduce the number of isolates falsely interpreted as resistant to piperacillin/tazobactam the zone diameter breakpoint, have been changed from susceptible = ≥ 24 mm, Resistant ≤ 23 mm to Susceptible ≥ 22 mm, Resistant < 21 mm.

Appendix 3.

Advice on testing the susceptibility to co-trimoxazole is provided, however the following are the CSM recommendations.

'Co-trimoxazole should be limited to the role of drug of choice in *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia, it is also indicated for toxoplasmosis and nocardiosis. It should now only be considered for use in acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis and infections of the urinary tract when there is good bacteriological evidence of sensitivity to co-trimoxazole and good reason to prefer this combination to a single antibiotic; similarly it should only be used in acute otitis media in children when there is good reason to prefer it. Review of the safety of co-trimoxazole using spontaneous adverse drug reaction data has indicated that the profile of reported adverse reactions with trimethoprim is similar to that with co-trimoxazole; blood and generalised skin disorders are the most serious reactions with both drugs and predominantly have been reported to occur in elderly patients. A recent large post-marketing study has demonstrated that such reactions are very rare with co-trimoxazole; the study did not distinguish between co-trimoxazole and trimethoprim with respect to serious hepatic, renal, blood or skin disorders.'

Appendix 4

Susceptibility testing *Haemophilus influenzae* to β -lactam antibiotics.

During the last year several users of the method have reported problems when testing susceptibility of clinical isolates of *Haemophilus influenzae* to β -lactam antibiotics. Of particular concern was co-amoxiclav, with which many β -lactamase positive isolates had zone diameters 1-2mm smaller than the zone diameter breakpoint of 20 mm and were therefore incorrectly interpreted as resistant.

The problem was further highlighted by results with NEQAS specimen 5853. This β -lactamase positive isolate required a co-amoxiclav MIC of 0.5 mg/L. Amongst the laboratories that incorrectly interpreted this organism resistant to co-amoxiclav centres using the BSAC method were common, and they reported zone diameters of 18-19mm for the organism with co-amoxiclav.

The Working Party therefore reviewed the zone diameter breakpoints for ampicillin, amoxicillin, co-amoxiclav and cefuroxime and recommendations for the interpretation of susceptibility of *H. influenzae* are as follows:

Antibiotic	Disc content (μ g)	Interpretation of zone diameters (mm)	
		R	S
Cefuroxime	5	≤ 16	≥ 17
Ampicillin	2	≤ 17	≥ 18
Amoxicillin	2	≤ 16	≥ 17
Co-amoxiclav	3	≤ 16	≥ 17

Susceptibility testing of anaerobic and fastidious bacteria

Anaerobic bacteria

The dynamics of the disc diffusion technique, in which the size of the zone is related to a critical concentration of antimicrobial agent, a critical population of organisms and a critical time, makes it an unsuitable method for testing slow-growing organisms. The BSAC Working Party recommendation for slow growing anaerobic organisms is to test for susceptibility by MIC determinations. The media recommended for the Etest by the manufacturer is Wilkins-Chalgren with 5% sheep blood or Brucella agar with 5% sheep blood and 1 mg/L vitamin K. Sheep blood is not often used in the UK and horse blood can be substituted, but results for control organisms may be slightly different (follow Etest technical guide instructions). Anaerobes that grow well within 24 hours can be tested by disc diffusion as a screen for resistance. The medium of choice for susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria is Wilkins-Chalgren agar supplemented with 5% horse blood. This medium adequately supports the growth of most fast growing anaerobic bacteria and has no adverse effect on the activity of antimicrobial agents.

1. Select colonies from a fresh plated culture. Suspend colonies in sterile distilled water and adjust the density to that of a 0.5 McFarland standard.
2. Dilute the suspension in sterile distilled water, 1 in 100 for Gram-negative species and 1 in 10 for Gram-positive species.
3. Use a sterile swab to inoculate evenly the surface of the medium.
4. Incubate plates for 18-24 hours at 37°C under strict anaerobic conditions.
5. Interpret zone diameters according to the tentative breakpoints given in the Table.

Resistance must be confirmed by MIC determinations. There are insufficient data available to recommend zone diameter breakpoints for other agents at this time. There are particular problems when interpreting results for combinations containing β -lactamase inhibitors as these have *in vitro* activity alone against many anaerobic species.

Tests for complete anaerobiosis, such as anaerobic indicator BR55 (Oxoid), growth of an obligate anaerobe such as *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* ATCC 29741 and lack of growth of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on Simmonds Citrate agar, are essential when performing any susceptibility tests on anaerobes. Metronidazole and clindamycin are particularly affected by the presence of any oxygen, which will result in raised MICs or reduced zone sizes.

Tentative zone diameter breakpoints for rapidly growing anaerobic organisms.

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)		Disc content (μ g)	Interpretation of zone diameter (mm)	
	R	S		R	S
Penicillin	≥ 2	≤ 1	2	≤ 17	≥ 18
Clindamycin	≥ 4	≤ 2	2	≤ 17	≥ 18
Metronidazole	≥ 16	≤ 8	5	≤ 17	≥ 18

Helicobacter pylori

Disc diffusion methods are not suitable for testing *H. pylori* as this species is slow growing and results may not be accurate. The recommended method of susceptibility testing is Etest (follow technical guide instructions).

1. Suspend colonies from a 2-3 day culture on a blood agar plate in sterile distilled water and adjust the density to equal a MacFarland 3 standard.
2. Use a swab dipped in the suspension to inoculate evenly the entire surface of the plate. The medium of choice is Mueller-Hinton agar or Wilkins-Chalgren agar with 5-10% horse blood.
3. Allow the plate to dry and apply Etest strip.
4. Incubate at 35°C in microaerophilic conditions for 3-5 days.
5. Read the MIC at the point of complete inhibition of all growth, including hazes and isolated colonies. Tentative interpretative criteria for MICs are given in the Table below.

Tentative MIC breakpoints for *H. pylori*

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)	
	R	S
Amoxicillin	≥2	≤1
Clarithromycin	≥2	≤1
Tetracycline	≥4	≤2
Metronidazole	≥8	≤4

Campylobacter species

The most commonly isolated *Campylobacter* spp. are those associated with gastrointestinal infection, *Campylobacter coli* and *Campylobacter jejuni*. Most *Campylobacter* spp. require a microaerophilic atmosphere for growth. Susceptibility tests for *Campylobacter* spp. are not standardized and therefore there is some variability in the susceptibility data reported in the literature. However, disc diffusion methods are suitable for detecting resistance to the commonly used antimicrobials. Nalidixic acid discs should be used to detect quinolone resistance.

1. Suspend colonies from a fresh plated culture in sterile distilled water. Adjust the density of the suspension to that of a 0.5 McFarland standard.
2. Use a swab dipped in the undiluted suspension to inoculate evenly the entire surface of Iso-Sensitest agar supplemented with 5% horse blood.
3. Incubate for 18-24h at 42°C in microaerophilic conditions. *Campylobacter fetus*, which is primarily associated with extraintestinal infections, does not grow well at 42°C and should be incubated at 35-37°C.
4. Measure zone diameters. Tentative zone diameter breakpoints are given below.

Tentative zone diameter breakpoints for *Campylobacter* spp.

Antibiotic	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)		Disc content (µg)	Interpretation of zone diameter (mm)	
	R	S		R	S
Erythromycin	≥2	≤1	5	≤19	≥20
Ciprofloxacin	≥4	≤2	1	≤17	≥18
Nalidixic acid			30	≤15	≥16

***Brucella* species**

Brucella spp. are Hazard Group 3 pathogens and all work must be done in containment level 3 accommodation. The antimicrobial agents most commonly used for treatment are doxycycline, rifampicin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline and streptomycin and, from the limited information available, there is little or no resistance to these drugs. *Brucella* spp. are uncommon isolates and interpretative standards are not available. Since *Brucella* spp. are highly infectious, the Working Party does not recommend susceptibility testing.

***Legionella* species**

Legionella spp. are slow growing and have particular growth requirements. Disc diffusion methods for susceptibility are unsuitable. Susceptibility should be determined by agar dilution MICs on buffered yeast extract agar with 5% water-lysed horse blood¹. The antimicrobial agents commonly used for treatment are macrolides, rifampicin and fluoroquinolones. Validated MIC breakpoints are not established for *Legionella* spp. If results for test isolates are within range of the normal wild-type distribution, listed below, clinical susceptibility may be assumed.

MIC ranges for normal wild-type *Legionella* spp.

Antibiotic	Range of MICs (mg/L)
Erythromycin	0.06-0.5
Clarithromycin	0.004-0.06
Rifampicin	0.004-0.06
Ciprofloxacin	0.016-0.06

References

1. Felmingham D., Robbins M. J., Sangharajkam., Leakey A. & Ridgway G. L. (1991). In-vitro activity of some 14-, 15-, and 16- membered macrolides against *Staphylococcus* spp., *Legionella* spp., *Mycoplasma* spp. and *Ureaplasma urealyticum*. *Drugs under experimental and Clinical Research* 17, 91-9.
2. Wheat, P. F., Winstanley, T. G. & Spencer, R. C.(1985). Effect of temperature on antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Pseudomonas maltophilia*. *Journal of Clinical Pathology* 38, 1055-8.
3. Rahmati-Bahram, A., Magee, J. T. & Jackson, S. K. (1995). Growth temperature-dependent variation of cell envelope lipids and antibiotic susceptibility in *Stenotrophomonas (Xanthomonas) maltophilia*. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 36, 317-26.
4. Rahmati-Bahram, A., Magee, J. T. & Jackson, S. K. (1997). Effect of temperature on aminoglycoside binding sites in *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 39, 19-24.

Susceptibility testing of topical antibiotics

MIC breakpoints, specifically for topical antibiotics, are not given because the Working Party is unaware of pharmacological, pharmacodynamic or clinical response data on which to base recommendations. The Working Party would be very grateful for relevant data to be submitted to it for consideration.

Control of Susceptibility Testing

Control strains have been chosen to include susceptible strains to monitor test performance (not for the interpretation of susceptibility), and resistant strains to confirm that the method will detect a mechanism of resistance, for example, *H. influenzae* ATCC 49247 a β -lactamase negative ampicillin resistant strain (refer to Table 5). Tables 2 - 5 provide zone diameters for recommended control organisms under a range of test conditions.

Control strains can be purchased from the National Collection of Type Cultures (NCTC; CPHL, 61, Colindale Avenue, London NW9 5HT). Alternatively, some may be obtained commercially (e.g. Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK; Mast Laboratories, Merseyside, UK; Becton Dickinson, Oxford, UK; TCS Biosciences Ltd. Buckingham, UK).

1. Maintenance of control strains

Store control strains to minimise the risk of mutations, for example, at -70°C , on beads in glycerol broth. Ideally, two vials of each control should be stored, one as an 'in-use' supply, the other for archiving. Every week a bead from the 'in-use' vial should be subcultured on to appropriate non-selective media and checked for purity. From this pure culture, prepare one subculture for each of the following 7 days. Alternatively, for fastidious organisms which will not survive on plates for 7 days, subculture the strain daily for no more than 6 days.

2. Calculation of control ranges for disc diffusion

The acceptable ranges for the control strains have been calculated by combining zone diameter data from 'field studies' and from centres supplying their daily control data, from which cumulative distributions of zones of inhibition have been deduced. From these distributions, the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles were read off empirically, to obtain a 'middle-range' that would contain 95% of the observations. If any distribution were normal, the above ranges correspond to the mean \pm 1.96 SD respectively. The percentile ranges obtained by this method are, however, still valid even if the data are 'non-normal'.


3. Frequency of testing

When the method is first introduced, daily testing is required until there are acceptable readings from 20 consecutive days (this also applies when new agents are introduced or when any test component changes). This provides sufficient data to support once weekly testing. If any result from weekly testing (or results from testing new batches of media or discs) is out of range corrective action must be taken.

4. Methods of using control data to monitor the performance of susceptibility testing

1. Use a reading frame of 20 consecutive results (remove the oldest result when adding a new one to make a total of 20) as illustrated in Figure 1.
2. Acceptable if not more than 1 in every 20 results are outside the limits of acceptability.
3. If 2 or more results fall out of the range this is a violation and requires immediate investigation.
4. Look for trends within the limits of acceptability eg. tendency for zones to be at lower limits of acceptability or for zones to be consistently above or, below the mean.

Figure 1. Use of control strains to monitor performance of disc susceptibility testing



A free to use supported QC programme is available from the following website:
www.thehealthcarenet.com/shareware.htm

5. Investigation of possible sources of error

If the control values are found to be outside acceptable limits on more than one occasion during a reading frame of twenty tests, investigation into the possible source of error is required. Areas for consideration are indicated in Table 1.

Table 1. Recommended areas for consideration as potential sources of error in disc susceptibility testing.

TEST CONDITIONS	CONTROLS	MEDIUM	DISCS
Pre-incubation	Contamination	Non-susceptibility testing agar	Wrong agent or content
Pre-diffusion	Mutation	Not prepared as per the manufacturer's instructions	Labile agent
Incubation temperature	Inoculum density	Batch to batch variation	Light sensitive agent
Incubation atmosphere	Uneven inoculation	Antagonists	Incorrect storage
Incubation time	Age of culture	pH	Disc containers opened before reaching room temperature
Illumination		Divalent cations	Labelling
		Depth	Expiry date
		Expiry date	

6. Reporting

Microbiologists require a pragmatic approach, as results from repeat testing are not available on the same day. Quality Assurance will often pick up trends before the controls go out of range. The following procedure is suggested for reporting:

1. Erroneous control results
 - Control zones are small, tests are susceptible or control zones are large, tests are resistant *investigate but report*
2. Recognition of atypical results in clinical isolates
 - Inherent resistance - susceptibility where resistance is expected eg. *Proteus* spp. susceptible to colistin or nitrofurantoin.
 - Resistance previously not observed - eg. Penicillin resistant Group A Streptococci.
 - Resistance rare locally.
 - Knowledge application - useful to install an `expert` system for laboratory reporting to avoid erroneous interpretation, for example, a methicillin resistant staphylococcus reported susceptible to a β -lactam antibiotic.
 - All atypical results - the purity of the isolate and identification should be confirmed and the susceptibility repeated.
 - Suppress results for individual antibiotics and retest.

7. Acceptable zone ranges for control strains

Tables XIX - XXV give the acceptable zone diameter ranges for the control strains obtained with the stated media and conditions of incubation.

Table XIX. Iso-Sensitest agar, plates incubated at 35-37 °C in air for 18-20 h.

Antibiotic	Disc content (µg unless stated)	<i>E. coli</i>			<i>Ps. aeruginosa</i>		<i>S. aureus</i>		<i>E. faecalis</i>
		NCTC 10418	ATCC 25922	NCTC 11560 *	NCTC 10662	ATCC 27853	NCTC 6571	ATCC 25923	ATCC 29212
Amikacin	30	24-27	23-27	-	21-30	26-32	-	-	-
Ampicillin	10	21-26	16-22	-	-	-	-	-	26-35
Ampicillin	25	24-30	21-28	-	-	-	-	-	-
Azithromycin	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15-21
Aztreonam	30	39-44	36-40	-	27-30	26-30	-	-	-
Cefixime	5	32-36	27-30	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cefoxitin	30	28-33	26-30	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cefotaxime	30	36-45	34-44	-	20-29	20-24	-	-	-
Ceftazidime	30	32-40	31-39	-	29-37	27-35	-	-	-
Cefuroxime	30	25-32	24-29	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cephalexin	30	21-28	16-21	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cephradine	30	19-25	16-22	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chloramphenicol	10	21-27	20-29	-	-	-	20-26	19-27	-
Ciprofloxacin	1	31-40	31-37	-	21-28	24-30	25-32	17-22	14-19
Ciprofloxacin	5	-	-	-	29-37	31-37	-	-	21-27
Clindamycin	2	-	-	-	-	-	30-35	26-33	No zone
Co-amoxyclav	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	27-32	-
Co-amoxyclav	30	18-31	20-26	12-18	-	-	-	-	-
Colistin	25	15-19	16-20	-	17-20	16-20	-	-	-
Ertapenem	10	35-41	35-39	-	-	-	-	-	-
Erythromycin	5	-	-	-	-	-	22-31	22-29	-
Fusidic acid	10	-	-	-	-	-	32-40	30-37	-
Gentamicin	10	21-27	21-27	-	20-26	22-28	24-30	20-26	-
Gentamicin	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22-27
Imipenem	10	32-37	33-37	-	20-27	23-28	-	-	28-32
Levofloxacin	1	30-33	28-34	-	-	-	-	-	-
Levofloxacin	5	-	-	-	22-29	23-29	-	-	-
Linezolid	10	-	-	-	-	-	31-35	26-30	24-29
Meropenem	10	38-42	27-39	-	32-39	32-39	-	-	22-28
Mupirocin	5	-	-	-	-	-	26-35	24-34	-
Nalidixic acid	30	28-36	26-32	-	-	-	-	-	-
Neomycin	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	21-27	-
Netilmicin	10	22-27	22-26	-	17-20	20-24	-	22-28	-
Nitrofurantoin	200	25-30	23-27	-	-	-	21-25	20-26	-
Norfloxacin	2	34-37	32-36	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ofloxacin	5	31-37	31-38	-	18-26	18-25	-	-	-
Penicillin	1 unit	-	-	-	-	-	32-40	29-36	-
Piperacillin	75	30-35	27-32	-	27-35	27-34	-	-	-
Pip/tazobactam	85	30-35	26-31	-	28-35	28-35	-	-	26-32
Quinupristin/ Dalfopristin	15	-	-	-	-	-	27-31	-	12-19
Rifampicin	2	-	-	-	-	-	27-39	29-36	-
Streptomycin	10	18-24	17-22	-	-	-	-	-	-

Antibiotic	Disc content (μg unless stated)	<i>E. coli</i>		<i>Ps. aeruginosa</i>		<i>S. aureus</i>		<i>E. faecalis</i>	
		NCTC 10418	ATCC 25922	NCTC 11560 *	NCTC 10662	ATCC 27853	NCTC 6571	ATCC 25923	ATCC 29212
Teicoplanin	30	-	-	-	-	-	17-23	16-20	19-25
Tetracycline	10	23-29	22-28	-	-	-	31-40	26-35	-
Ticarcillin	75	32-35	27-30	-	24-28	23-27	-	-	-
Timentin	85	33-37	27-31	-	25-29	24-27	-	-	-
Tobramycin	10	24-27	-	-	23-30	26-32	-	29-35	-
Trimethoprim	2.5	30-37	25-31	-	-	-	25-30	20-28	28-35
Trimethoprim	5	-	-	-	-	-	24-34	-	-
Vancomycin	5	-	-	-	-	-	14-20	13-17	13-19

* = β -lactamase producing strain

Table XX. Iso-Sensitest agar supplemented with 5% defibrinated horse blood with, or without, the addition of NAD, plates incubated at 35-37°C in air for 18-20 h.

Antibiotic	Disc content (μg unless stated)	<i>S. aureus</i>	
		NCTC 6571	ATCC 25923
Erythromycin	5	22-29	23-29
Penicillin	1 unit	30-41	27-35
Tetracycline	10	30-38	28-36

Table XXI. Detection of methicillin/oxacillin/cefoxitin resistance in staphylococci.

Antibiotic	Media	Disc content (μg)	<i>S. aureus</i>		
			NCTC 12493*	NCTC 6571	ATCC 25923
Methicillin	Columbia/Mueller Hinton agar + 2% NaCl	5	No zone	18-30	18-28
Oxacillin	Columbia/Mueller Hinton agar + 2% NaCl	1	No zone	19-30	19-29
Cefoxitin	ISA	10	No zone	26-28	24-26

* Methicillin/oxacillin/cefoxitin resistant strain

Table XXII. Iso-Sensitest agar supplemented with 5% defibrinated horse blood with, or without, the addition of NAD, plates incubated at 35-37°C in 4-6% CO₂ for 18-20 h.

Antibiotic	Disc content (µg unless stated)	<i>S. aureus</i>		<i>H. influenzae</i> (with NAD)		<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	<i>P. multocida</i>
		NCTC 6571	ATCC 25923	NCTC 11931	ATCC 49247 ^a	ATCC 49619	NCTC 8489
Amoxicillin	2	29-34	-	-	-	-	-
Ampicillin	2	-	-	22-30	6-13	-	-
Ampicillin	10	-	-	-	-	-	32-37
Azithromycin	15	-	-	24-36	20-30	-	-
Cefotaxime	5	26-32	-	33-45	27-38	-	35-41
Cefuroxime	5	22-29	24-29	22-28	6-16	-	-
Chloramphenicol	10	21-26	-	30-40	30-38	21-29	-
Ciprofloxacin	1	22-29	18-23	32-40	33-44	14-21	31-37
Clindamycin	2	21-25	-	-	-	-	-
Co-amoxycylav	3	-	-	20-27	10-20	-	-
Ertapenem	10	-	-	30-38	25-34	35-40	-
Erythromycin	5	25-29	-	12-23	9-16	23-36	-
Linezolid	10	22-26	-	-	-	-	-
Nalidixic acid	30	9-17	9-17	33-38	-	-	-
Oxacillin	1	-	-	-	-	8-16	-
Penicillin	1 unit	37-44	29-36	-	-	-	24-28
Quinupristin/ dalfopristin	15	-	-	-	-	21-29	-
Rifampicin	2	32-37	-	-	-	-	-
Rifampicin	5	-	-	-	-	28-35	-
Teicoplanin	30	14-19	-	-	-	-	-
Tetracycline	10	33-40	27-34	27-35	9-14	26-36	29-34
Trimethoprim	2.5	-	-	30-40	28-36	-	-
Vancomycin	5	12-16	-	-	-	-	-

a = β-Lactamase negative, ampicillin-resistant strain

8. Control of MIC determinations

The following tables provide MIC (mg/L) values for recommended control strains using BSAC methodology as described by Andrews¹

Table XXIII. Expected MICs for control strains with BSAC methodology¹

Antibiotic	<i>H. influenzae</i>		<i>Ent.</i>	<i>S.</i>	<i>B. fragilis</i>	<i>N.</i>
	NCTC 11931	ATCC 49247	<i>faecalis</i> ATCC 29212	<i>pneumoniae</i> ATCC 49619	NCTC 9343	<i>gonorrhoeae</i> ATCC 49226
ABT 492	0.001	-	0.06	0.008	0.06	0.001
Amikacin	-	-	128	-	-	-
Amoxicillin	0.5	4	0.5	0.06	32	0.5
Ampicillin	-	-	1	0.06	32	-
Azithromycin	2	2	-	0.12	-	-
Azlozillin	-	-	-	-	4	-
Aztreonam	-	-	>128	-	2	-
Cefaclor	-	128	>32	2	>128	-
Cefamandole	-	-	-	-	8	-
Cefixime	0.03	0.25	-	1	64	-
Cefotaxime	-	0.25	32	0.06	4	-
Cefoxitin	-	-	-	-	4	-
Cefpirome	0.06	0.5	16	-	16	-
Cefpodoxime	0.12	0.5	>32	0.12	32	-
Ceftazidime	0.12	-	>32	-	8	-
Ceftriaxone	-	-	>32	0.06	4	-
Cefuroxime	2	16	>32	0.25	32	-
Cephadroxil	-	-	>32	-	32	-
Cephalexin	-	-	>32	-	64	-
Cephalothin	-	-	16	-	-	-
Chloramphenicol	-	-	4	4	4	-
Ciprofloxacin	0.008	0.008	1	1	2	0.004
Clarithromycin	8	4	-	0.03	0.25	0.5
Clindamycin	-	-	8	0.12	0.5	-
Co-amoxyclov	0.5	8	0.5	0.06	0.5	0.5
Cotrimoxazole	-	1	2	4	-	-
Dalfopristin/ quinupristin	-	-	1	0.5	16	-
Enoxacin	-	-	-	-	1	-
Ertapenem	0.12	0.5	-	0.12	0.25	-
Erythromycin	8	8	4	0.12	1	0.5
Faropenem	-	-	-	0.06	1	-
Fleroxacin	-	-	-	-	4	-
Flucloxacillin	-	-	-	-	16	-
Fucidic acid	-	-	2	-	-	-
Gatifloxacin	0.008	-	0.25	0.25	0.5	0.004
Gemifloxacin	0.12	-	0.03	0.03	0.25	0.002
Gentamicin	-	-	8	-	128	-
Grepafloxacin	-	0.004	-	0.25	-	-
Imipenem	-	-	0.5	-	0.06	-
Levofloxacin	0.008	0.015	1	0.5	0.5	0.008
Linezolid	-	-	-	2	4	-
Loracarbef	-	128	>32	2	>128	-
Mecillinam	-	-	>128	-	>128	-
Meropenem	-	-	2	-	0.06	-
Metronidazole	-	-	-	-	0.5	-
Moxalactam	-	-	-	-	0.25	-

Antibiotic	<i>H. influenzae</i>		<i>Ent. faecalis</i>	<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	<i>B. fragilis</i>	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>
	NCTC 11931	ATCC 49247	ATCC 29212	ATCC 49619	NCTC 9343	ATCC 49226
Moxifloxacin	0.03	0.03	0.25	0.5	-	0.004
Naladixic acid	-	1	-	>128	64	-
Nitrofurantoin	-	-	8	-	-	-
Norfloxacin	-	-	2	-	16	-
Ofloxacin	-	-	2	-	1	-
Oxacillin	-	-	-	1	-	-
Pefloxacin	-	-	-	-	1	-
Penicillin	-	4	2	0.5	16	-
Piperacillin	-	-	2	-	2	-
Rifampicin	-	-	2	0.03	-	-
Roxithromycin	16	16	-	0.12	2	-
Rufloxacin	-	-	-	-	16	-
Sparfloxacin	-	0.002	-	0.25	1	-
Teicoplanin	-	-	0.25	-	-	-
Telithromycin	1	2	0.008	0.008	-	0.03
Tetracycline	-	16	16	0.12	0.5	-
Ticarcillin	-	-	-	-	4	-
Tobramycin	-	-	16	-	-	-
Trimethoprim	-	-	0.25	4	16	-
Trovafloxacin	0.008	0.002	0.06	0.12	0.12	-
Vancomycin	-	-	2	0.25	16	-

Table XXIV. Expected MICs (mg/L) for control strains by BSAC methodology

Antibiotic	<i>Esch. coli</i>		<i>P. aeruginosa</i>		<i>S. aureus</i>		
	NCTC 10418	ATCC 25922	NCTC 10662	ATCC 27853	NCTC 6571	ATCC 25923	ATCC 29213
ABT 492	0.015	0.03	0.25	0.25	0.001	0.004	0.004
ABT 773	-	-	-	-	0.015	0.03	0.03
Amikacin	0.5	1	2	2	1	-	2
Amoxicillin	2	4	>128	>128	0.12	0.25	0.5
Ampicillin	2	4	>128	>128	0.06	-	-
Azithromycin	-	-	-	-	0.12	0.12	0.12
Azlozillin	4	-	4	-	0.25	-	-
Aztreonam	0.03	0.25	4	2	>128	-	>128
Carbenicillin	2	-	32	-	0.5	-	-
Cefaclor	1	2	>128	>128	1	-	1
Cefamandole	0.25	-	>128	>128	0.25	-	-
Cefixime	0.06	0.25	16	-	8	8	16
Cefotaxime	0.03	0.06	8	8	0.5	-	1
Cefotetan	0.06	-	>128	>128	4	-	-
Cefoxitin	4	-	>128	>128	2	-	-
Cefpirome	0.03	0.03	4	1	0.25	-	0.5
Cefpodoxime	0.25	0.25	128	>128	1	4	2
Ceftazidime	0.06	0.25	1	1	4	-	8
Ceftizoxime	0.008	-	-	-	2	-	-
Ceftriaxone	0.03	0.06	8	8	1	-	2
Cefuroxime	2	4	>128	>128	0.5	1	1
Cephadroxil	8	8	>128	>128	1	-	2
Cephalexin	4	8	>128	>128	1	-	4
Cephaloridine	-	-	>128	>128	0.06	-	-
Cephalothin	4	8	>128	>128	0.5	-	0.25
Cephradine	-	-	>128	>128	2	-	-
Chloramphenicol	2	4	128	-	2	-	2
Ciprofloxacin	0.015	0.015	0.25	0.25	0.12	0.5	0.5
Clarithromycin	-	-	-	-	0.12	0.12	0.12
Clindamycin	-	-	-	-	0.06	0.12	0.06
Co-amoxycylav	2	4	>128	128	0.12	0.12	0.25
Colistin	0.5	-	2	-	128	-	-
Cotrimoxazole	0.25	0.25	-	-	-	-	2
Dalfopristin/ quinupristin	-	-	-	-	0.12	0.25	0.25
Dirythromycin	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
Enoxacin	0.25	-	1	-	0.5	-	-
Ertapenem	0.008	0.015	-	-	-	-	-
Erythromycin	-	-	-	-	0.12	0.5	0.25
Farapenem	0.25	-	>128	>128	0.12	-	-
Fleroxacin	0.06	0.12	1	-	0.5	-	-
Flucloxacillin	-	-	>128	>128	0.06	-	-
Flumequine	2	-	>128	>128	-	-	-
Fosfomycin	4	-	>128	>128	8	-	-
Fusidic acid	>128	-	-	-	0.06	0.12	0.06
Gatifloxacin	0.015	0.015	1	1	0.03	0.12	0.12
Gemifloxacin	0.008	0.008	0.25	0.25	0.015	0.03	0.03
Gentamicin	0.25	0.5	1	1	0.12	0.25	0.25
Grepafloxacin	0.03	0.03	0.5	-	0.03	-	-
Imipenem	0.06	0.12	2	1	0.015	-	0.015
Kanamycin	1	-	1	-	2	-	-
Levofloxacin	0.03	0.03	0.5	0.5	0.12	0.25	0.25
Linezolid	-	-	-	-	0.5	1	-

Antibiotic	<i>Esch. coli</i>		<i>P. aeruginosa</i>		<i>S. aureus</i>		
	NCTC 10418	ATCC 25922	NCTC 10662	ATCC 27853	NCTC 6571	ATCC 25923	ATCC 29213
Lomefloxacin	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-
Loracarbef	0.5	1	>128	>128	0.5	-	1
Mecillinam	0.12	0.12	8	-	8	-	64
Meropenem	0.015	0.008	2	0.25	0.03	-	0.06
Methicillin	-	-	>128	>128	1	2	2
Mezlocillin	2	-	8	-	0.5	-	-
Moxalactam	0.03	-	8	-	8	-	-
Moxifloxacin	0.03	0.03	2	2	0.06	0.06	0.06
Mupirocin	-	-	-	-	0.25	0.25	0.12
Nalidixic acid	2	4	>128	>128	>128	128	128
Neomycin	-	-	32	-	0.12	-	-
Nitrofurantoin	4	8	-	-	8	-	16
Norfloxacin	0.06	0.06	1	1	0.25	-	1
Ofloxacin	0.06	0.03	1	1	0.25	-	0.5
Oxacillin	-	-	>128	>128	0.25	0.25	0.5
Pefloxacin	0.06	-	0.5	-	0.25	-	-
Penicillin	-	-	>128	>128	0.03	0.03	0.12
Piperacillin	0.5	2	4	2	0.25	-	1
Rifampicin	16	-	-	-	0.004	0.015	0.004
Roxithromycin	-	-	-	-	0.25	0.5	0.5
Rufloxacin	0.5	-	8	-	1	-	-
Sparfloxacin	0.015	0.015	0.5	0.5	0.03	-	-
Sulphonamide	16	-	>128	>128	64	-	-
Teicoplanin	-	-	-	-	0.25	0.5	0.5
Telithromycin	-	-	-	-	0.03	0.06	0.06
Temocillin	2	-	>128	-	128	-	-
Tetracycline	1	2	-	32	0.06	-	0.5
Ticarcillin	1	-	16	-	0.5	-	-
Ticarcillin/ 4mg/L clavulanate	-	-	32	16	-	-	-
Tobramycin	0.25	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.12	-	0.5
Trimethoprim	0.12	0.25	32	-	0.25	-	0.5
Trovaflaxacin	0.015	0.015	0.5	0.5	0.015	0.03	0.03
Vancomycin	-	-	-	-	0.5	0.5	1

Table XXV. Expected MICs (mg/L) for control strains by BSAC methodology²

Antibiotic	<i>P. multocida</i>
	NCTC 8489
Ampicillin	0.12
Cefotaxime	0.004
Ciprofloxacin	0.008
Penicillin	0.12
Tetracycline	0.25

1 Andrews, J.M. Determination of Minimum inhibitory concentrations. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, Suppl S1 to Volume 48 July 2001.

2. Andrews, J. M., Jevons, G., Brenwald, N. and Fraise, A. for the BSAC Working Party on Sensitivity Testing. Susceptibility testing *Pasteurella multocida* by BSAC standardized methodology. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*.

Useful web sites

SRGA :	The Swedish Reference Group for Antibiotics	www.srga.org
CDC :	Centre for Disease Control (Atlanta, USA)	www.cdc.gov
WHO :	World Health Organisation (Geneva, Switzerland)	www.who.int
NCCLS :	National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards	www.nccls.org
NEQAS :	National External Quality Assessment Scheme	www.ukneqas.org.uk
NCTC :	National Collection of Type Cultures	www.ukncc.co.uk
JAC:	The Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. Supplement S1 to Volume 48 July, 2001 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. A report of the Working Party on Susceptibility Testing of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. Available from: www.jac.oupjournals.org/content/vol48/suppl_1/	